การวิเคราะห์ข้อสอบรายข้อ

กรณีศึกษา

ความยาก อำนาจจำแนกข้อสอบรายข้อ ตัวเลือกที่ถูกและตัวลวง

3.3.1 Item difficulty (difficulty index). The indices of item difficulty level of each item are presented in Table 2. Test items were classified into three categories in terms of level of difficulty ¹² as indicated in the Table 3.

Table 2. Item difficulty of comprehensive test items.

| Item No | Difficulty index (p) | Item No | Difficulty index (p) | Item No | Difficulty index (p) |
|---------|----------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|----------------------|
| 1 | 0.824 | 10 | 0.784 | 19 | 0.696 |
| 2 | 0.768 | 11 | 0.744 | 20 | 0.784 |
| 3 | 0.464 | 12 | 0.616 | 21 | 0.320 |
| 4 | 0.808 | 13 | 0.472 | 22 | 0.832 |
| 5 | 0.496 | 14 | 0.728 | 23 | 0.600 |
| 6 | 0.368 | 15 | 0.688 | 24 | 0.816 |
| 7 | 0.256 | 16 | 0.544 | 25 | 0.720 |
| 8 | 0.168 | 17 | 0.888 | 26 | 0.440 |
| 9 | 0.680 | 18 | 0.576 | | |

Table 3. Classification of difficulty level.

| Value of Difficulty Index (P) | Classification |
|-------------------------------|----------------|
| 0.00 - 0.30 | Difficult |
| 0.31 - 0.70 | Moderate |
| 0.71 - 1.00 | Easy |

3.3.2 Item discrimination. The indices of item discrimination of each item are presented in Table 4. The items were classified accordingly to their discrimination index¹² on Table 5. If the value of the discriminating power is negative, the item test should be rejected¹².

Table 4. Item discrimination of comprehensive test items.

| Item No | DP | Item No | DP | Item No | DP |
|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|
| 1 | 0.260 | 10 | 0.176 | 19 | 0.588 |
| 2 | 0.529 | 11 | 0.411 | 20 | 0.353 |
| 3 | 0.441 | 12 | 0.206 | 21 | 0.206 |
| 4 | 0.294 | 13 | 0.558 | 22 | 0.353 |
| 5 | 0.353 | 14 | 0.500 | 23 | 0.588 |
| 6 | 0.176 | 15 | 0.323 | 24 | 0.617 |
| 7 | 0.530 | 16 | 0.529 | 25 | 0.382 |
| 8 | 0.530 | 17 | 0.206 | 26 | 0.500 |
| 9 | 0.265 | 18 | 0.706 | | |

Table 5. The Classification of Discriminating Power (DP).

| Discriminating Power (DP) | Interpretation |
|---------------------------|----------------|
| 0.00 - 0.20 | Poor |
| 0.21 - 0.40 | Satisfactory |
| 0.41 - 0.70 | Good |
| 0.71 - 1.00 | Excellent |

3.3.3 Distractor analysis

In the section on item analysis, the choice of distractors is critically important. Studies have shown that it is rare to find items for which more than three or four distractors operate efficiently. The distractor is usable if the distractor was chosen by 5% of all the respondent¹². Result analysis shown in the Table 6.

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Developing Science Virtual Test to Measure Students' Critical Thinking on Living Things and Environmental Sustainability Theme

To cite this article: M N Akbar et al 2017 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 812 012106

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

Table 6. Distractor analysis of comprehensive test items.

The subjects of research were 8th grade students at International Junior High School in Bandung with 125 total of respondents

| items. | | | | | |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|
| Item | Option | | | | |
| Number | A | В | C | D | |
| 1 | Answer | 1 | O | 21 | |
| 2 | 8 | 7 | 13 | Answer | |
| 3 | Answer | 55 | 10 | 1 | |
| 4 | Answer | 4 | 9 | 11 | |
| 5 | 5 | 45 | Answer | 11 | |
| 6 | Answer | 25 | 2 | 32 | |
| 7 | 66 | 13 | Answer | 14 | |
| 8 | Answer | 22 | 65 | 17 | |
| 9 | 5 | 6 | 27 | Answer | |
| 10 | Answer | 9 | 2 | 16 | |
| 11 | Answer | 18 | 4 | 10 | |
| 12 | 29 | Answer | 8 | 9 | |
| 13 | Answer | 29 | 24 | 12 | |
| 14 | 20 | 3 | Answer | 5 | |
| 15 | 6 | Answer | 10 | 22 | |
| 16 | 13 | 39 | Answer | 4 | |
| 17 | 8 | Answer | 1 | 3 | |
| 18 | 5 | 45 | Answer | 11 | |
| 19 | Answer | 30 | 4 | 3 | |
| 20 | 10 | Answer | 9 | 8 | |
| 21 | Answer | 63 | 3 | 19 | |
| 22 | 3 | 15 | Answer | 2 | |
| 23 | 13 | Answer | 29 | 8 | |
| 24 | Answer | 6 | 2 | 15 | |
| 25 | Answer | 14 | 13 | 8 | |
| 26 | Answer | 28 | 32 | 7 | |

Conclusion